

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/627,031	07/25/2003	Gopala Pillai	KMI010USU	3706
	45180 7	590 05/12/2005		EXAMINER	
		BATTERSBY, LLP ENUE, THIRD FLOOR		ABDELWAHED, ALI F	
	NORWALK,	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3722	
				DATE MAILED: 06/12/2000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		•	O			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/627,031	PILLAI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
/		Ali Abdelwahed	3722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the product of the statutory minimum	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 March 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-17 and 19</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P7	ΓO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			٨			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formula All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be	Application No	Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.				
Attachment		·	·				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	e or Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE · No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/627,031

Art Unit: 3722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 15, 16 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

It is suggested that in:

Claim 1, line 15, delete "surface meets" and insert -surfaces meet--.

Claim 2, line 4, delete "appendage" and insert –appendages--.

Claim 10, line 3, delete "appendage" and insert -appendages --.

Claim 10, line 4, delete "surface" and insert -surfaces--.

Claim 11, line 3, delete "is" and insert -are--.

Claim 15, line 5, before "...surface of said body..." insert -outer--.

Claim 15, line 12, before "...end thereof..." delete "the" and insert -an--.

Claim 15, line 15, delete "surface meets" and insert -surfaces meet--.

Claim 15, line 20, delete "appendage" and insert -appendages--.

Claim 15, line 23, before "...rotational..." delete "the".

Claim 16, line 3, delete "appendage" and insert -appendages--.

Claim 16, line 4, delete "surface" and insert -surfaces--.

Claim 19, line 7, before "...surface..." delete "the" and insert -an outer--.

Claim 19, line 7, before "... upper..." delete "the" and insert -an--.

Claim 19, lines 18 and 19, delete "surface meets" and insert -surfaces meet--.

Claim 19, line 21, delete "respective".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,031

Art Unit: 3722

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 13-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,980,260 to Caputi in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,380,233 to Numoto.

Caputi discloses the claimed invention except for having an arcuate ridge, a means for limiting the rotational and linear movement of the appendage relative to the body comprised of a pair of complementary ribbed elements disposed on the planar surfaces, wherein one set of ribbed elements are comprised of beveled raised elements and the other set of ribbed elements are comprised of beveled recessed elements that engage each other when the appendage is attached to the body; the magnetic elements are disposed within the planar surfaces of the body and appendage; and wherein the ribs are approximately 0.75mm wide and 0.75mm deep and have a diameter of approximately 9.75mm.

However, Numoto teaches an attachment means for attaching appendages to a figure comprising an arcuate ridge (see fig. 5, defined by reference numeral 52), and that limit the rotational and linear movement of the appendage relative to the body, and is comprised of a pair of complementary ribbed elements (56), wherein one set of ribbed elements are comprised of beveled raised elements (57) and the other set of ribbed

Application/Control Number: 10/627,031 Page 4

Art Unit: 3722

elements are comprised of beveled recessed elements (53) that engage each other when the appendage is attached to the body (see figs. 5, 6); magnetic elements (60, 63) that are disposed within the planar surfaces of the body and the elements configured to be attachable to the body (see figs. 5, 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the toy of Caputi, in view of Numoto, such that it would provide the toy of Caputi with the concept of the aforementioned limitations for the purpose of providing a stronger and more secure attachment structure for the appendages and body of the toy.

Additionally, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the size of the ribs to be approximately 0.75mm wide and 0.75mm deep and have a diameter of approximately 9.75mm, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Furthermore, there is no criticality for theses limitations anywhere in the specification of the present invention (see pgs. 13 and 14, lines 24-26 and 1, respectively).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 25 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,031

Art Unit: 3722

Page 5

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Furthermore, concerning the newly amended subject matter concerning "the arcuate ridge not completely encircling the planar surfaces of the body such that a lower portion of the planar surfaces meet the outer surface of the body", which was added to claims 1, 15, and 19 is taught by the Caputi reference (see fig.17, the arcuate outer ridge that surrounds the planar surface defined by reference # 40 does not completely encircle it, and meets the outer surface of the body at the bottom).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abdelwahed whose telephone number is (571) 272-4417. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 10:00 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the examiner or the examiner's supervisor.

AA 05/03/2005

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700